

**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MADRAS**

PH5100 Quantum Mechanics - I

Problem Set 4

19.8.2019

The angular momentum algebra

In mathematics, the term **algebra** (over a field) is an LVS equipped with a bilinear product. The bilinear product takes two vectors as input and gives another vector as output. A simple example is given by \mathbb{R}^3 with the bilinear product being the usual cross-product of vectors. The algebra that we will be discussing is that of linear operators on some Hilbert/vector space. The bilinear product is the commutator of two operators i.e., given two operators A and B , the (anti-symmetric) bilinear product is $[A, B] = AB - BA$. Bilinearity implies that it suffices to define the product by its action on a basis for the algebra.

The **angular momentum** algebra refers to the following three-dimensional algebra with basis J_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The bilinear product is

$$\boxed{[J_1, J_2] = i\hbar J_3 \quad , \quad [J_2, J_3] = i\hbar J_1 \quad , \quad [J_3, J_1] = i\hbar J_2 \quad .} \quad (1)$$

Note that we can get rid of the \hbar by redefining the basis via the substitution $J_i \rightarrow \hbar J_i$. This algebra also satisfies the Jacobi identity i.e.,

$$[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] = 0 .$$

An algebra with an anti-symmetric bilinear product satisfying the Jacobi identity is called a **Lie algebra** and the bilinear product is called the **Lie bracket**. Thus, the angular momentum algebra is a Lie algebra called the $su(2)$ or $so(3)$ Lie algebra.

Remark: Verify that in classical mechanics, the space of functions in phase space with the Poisson bracket as the bilinear product is also a Lie algebra.

We will two different realisations of the angular momentum algebra in this problem set.

1. Let $(T_a)_{bc} = -i\epsilon_{abc}$ with $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3$ denote three 3×3 matrices. Verify that they are hermitian and can be considered as linear operators on \mathbb{R}^3 . Verify that the identity

$$\sum_{a=1}^3 \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon_{atm} = \delta_{b\ell} \delta_{cm} - \delta_{bm} \delta_{c\ell} ,$$

implies that the T_a satisfy the angular momentum algebra with $\hbar = 1$. Recall that $R(\hat{n}, \theta)$, the rotation matrix, that was defined in problem set 2 can now be written as

$$R(\hat{n}, \theta) := \exp(i\theta \hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{T}) = I + i \sin \theta (\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{T}) - (1 - \cos \theta)(\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{T})^2 .$$

2. Let σ denote the Pauli sigma matrices and consider them to be linear hermitian operators on \mathbb{C}^2 . Explicitly, the Pauli sigma/spin matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Show that $\frac{1}{2}\sigma$ satisfies the angular momentum algebra with $\hbar = 1$. Further show that

$$U(\hat{n}, \theta) := \exp\left(i\theta \frac{\hat{n} \cdot \sigma}{2}\right) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{n} \cdot \sigma .$$

Hence, observe that $U(\hat{n}, 2\pi) = -1$ unlike $R(\hat{n}, 2\pi) = 1$. The difference is due to the fact the groups $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ are not the same even though their generators satisfy the same Lie algebra.

Remark: The most general $SU(2)$ matrix can be written as $U = (x_0 I + i \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j \sigma_j)$ with $(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) = 1$. In other words, to each $SU(2)$ matrix we can associate point on the three-sphere in \mathbb{R}^4 with coordinate (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) .